



National Committee on Uniform Traffic Control Devices

13236 North 7th Street, Suite 4-259, Phoenix, Arizona 85022
Phone/Text: 231-4-NCUTCD (231-462-8823)
E-mail: secretary@ncutcd.org Website: https://ncutcd.org

Item Number: 26A-CAV-01

NCUTCD PROPOSAL FOR CHANGES TO THE MANUAL ON UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES

COMMITTEE / TASK FORCE: Connected and Automated Vehicles Joint Task Force
ITEM NUMBER: 26A-CAV-01
TOPIC: Part 5 Review of NCHRP 1140 Appendix A Recommendations
ORIGIN OF REQUEST: NCUTCD Chair, CAV JTF
AFFECTED SECTIONS OF MUTCD: Part 5

DEVELOPMENT HISTORY:

Approved by CAV JTF: 01/07/2026
Approved by NCUTCD Council:

This is a proposed change to the MUTCD that has been developed by a technical committee, joint committee, or joint task force of the NCUTCD. The NCUTCD is distributing this to its sponsoring organizations for review and comment. Sponsor comments will be considered in revising the proposal prior to NCUTCD Council consideration. This proposal does not represent a revision of the MUTCD and does not constitute official MUTCD standards, guidance, options, or support. If approved by the NCUTCD Council, the recommended changes will be submitted to FHWA for consideration for inclusion in a future MUTCD revision. The MUTCD can be revised only through the federal rulemaking process.

SUMMARY:

In April 2025, the NCUTCD Chair encouraged members to read and adopt the principles of [NCHRP Report 1140 Quantitative Safety Analysis for Highway Applications](#), Appendix A; a style and usage guide on terms and phrases. The CAV JTF completed a review of Part 5 and recommends these proposed changes. No changes are recommended for Part 5 language that is cited as a direct quote from another document.

DISCUSSION:

Appendix A of NCHRP Report 1140 contains a style and usage guide of terms and phrases to be used and avoided. The guide was created for the team producing the second edition of the Highway Safety Manual and included identification of terms and phrases that could be construed as expressing personal opinion, being vague or ambiguous, being directive or mandatory when such is not intended, establishing performance levels that cannot be reasonably achieved, being pejorative or having liability implications. The identified terms and phrases used in Part 5, the Appendix A concern and the proposed modifications are discussed below.

39 The terms “guide” or “guidance” may convey a directive or mandatory requirement. Where the use of
40 the term did not fit the existing MUTCD “guidance” definition AND its use was not intended to convey a
41 mandatory action, the term was removed. (Section 5A.01)

42
43 The term “safe” conveys a personal opinion or assessment of a situation and as a result may have a
44 vague or ambiguous meaning. The phrase “safe and effective” while utilized several times did not
45 appear to have a significant contribution to the intent of the MUTCD language and was removed.
46 (Section 5A.01)

47
48 The terms “dangerous” and “hazardous” are pejorative and may have liability implications. The terms
49 “danger/dangerous” is an established legal term of art while the terms “hazard/hazardous” are not as
50 commonly used as a legal term of art. Where possible the terms were deleted, when kept the retained
51 term was “hazard/potentially hazardous” as the phrasing is consistent with industry developed system
52 names such as connected vehicle hazard alerts. (Section 5A.02)

53
54 The term “all” can be overly inclusive especially in a technical area that is still under development.
55 When used, the word “all” does not contribute significantly to the MUTCD language and was removed.
56 (Section 5B.01)

57
58 The term “better” conveys a personal opinion or assessment of a situation and as a result may have a
59 vague or ambiguous meaning. The phrase “better accommodating driving automation systems, to
60 support AVs” appears multiple times in Part 5. The phrasing is redundant and created a challenge that
61 required consideration of the intent of the language before consensus was reached. The proposed
62 replacement phrase “support driving automation systems,” places the item being acted on
63 (infrastructure) in the phrase and removes the redundant reference of “accommodating AVs to support
64 AVs”. (Section 5B.02, 5B.03, 5B.04, 5B.05 and 5B.06)

65
66 The term “desirable” conveys a personal opinion or assessment of a situation and as a result may have
67 a vague or ambiguous meaning. When used, the term did not appear to have a significant contribution
68 to the MUTCD language and was removed.(Section 5B.03)

69
70 The term “concern” may convey a negative impression implying that something is bad and may have
71 alternate meanings such as “interests” or “about, related to”. The sentence utilizing the term “concern”
72 in Section 5B.04 was restructured to maintain the same meaning and purpose without the use of the
73 term.

74
75 Throughout Part 5, the term “benefitting” was spelt with two “t’s”, which is inconsistent with the rest of
76 the MUTCD. This proposal includes changing the spelling of “benefitting” for consistency with the rest
77 of the MUTC.

78 **RECOMMENDED MUTCD CHANGES:**

79
80 The following present the proposed changes to the current MUTCD within the context of the current
81 MUTCD language. Proposed additions to the MUTCD are shown in blue underline and proposed
82 deletions from the MUTCD are shown in ~~red strikethrough~~. Changes previously approved by NCUTCD
83 Council (but not yet adopted by FHWA) are shown in green double underline for additions and ~~green~~
84 ~~double strikethrough~~ for deletions. In some cases, background comments may be provided with the
85 MUTCD text. These comments are indicated by [bracketed white text in shaded green]. Deletions
86 made by a technical committee, joint committee, or task force after initial distribution to sponsoring
87 organizations are shown in ~~highlighted red strikethrough and sans-serif text~~. Additions made by a
88 technical committee, joint committee, or task force after initial distribution to sponsoring organizations
89 are shown in underline blue and sans-serif text.

PART 5

TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE CONSIDERATIONS FOR AUTOMATED VEHICLES

CHAPTER 5A. GENERAL

Section 5A.01 Scope and Purpose

Support:

01 The scope of the provisions in this Part are intended for consideration of traffic control devices that are
02 specifically being designed to accommodate automated vehicles capable of performing partial or full real-time
03 operational functions in general traffic on a sustained basis. This Part does not require agencies to use these
04 provisions in their accommodation of automated vehicles on their roadways. Rather, the purpose of these
05 provisions is to provide agencies with general considerations ~~and guidance~~ for traffic control devices that can be
06 more helpful in the accommodation of such vehicles, while at the same time being more beneficial to road users.

07 It is important for early implementers of automated vehicles to understand the ramifications of traffic control
08 devices in a mixed fleet environment and to consider the needs of both human and machine-led road users. Partial
09 automation technologies are already commercially available in the vehicle fleet and are operating under current
10 infrastructure conditions. The overall effectiveness of the automation is impacted by the uniformity and consistent
11 application of the highway infrastructure, including traffic control devices.

12 This Chapter provides an overview of foundational driving automation system (see definition in Section
13 5A.03) technology terminology, key principles, considerations for traffic control device selection, and topics for
14 agencies to consider. The MUTCD does not address standardization of digital infrastructure, geometric road design,
15 traffic control device maintenance levels, minimum pavement conditions, or other items that might be important for
16 ~~safe and effective~~ operation of driving automation system technologies.

Section 5A.02 Overview of Automated Vehicles and Connected Vehicles

Support:

01 Driving automation system technology automates some or all aspects of the driving tasks to assist or replace
02 the human driver and can include driver assistance technology generally known as advanced driver assistance
03 systems (ADAS). Automated vehicles (AVs) are vehicles in which at least one element of vehicle control (such as
04 steering, speed control, or braking) occurs without direct human driver input. AVs function by gathering
05 information from a suite of sensors that can include, but are not limited to:

- 06 A. Cameras,
- 07 B. Radar,
- 08 C. Light detection and ranging (LiDAR),
- 09 D. Ultrasonic, and
- 10 E. Infrared.

11 AVs can combine sensor data with other inputs including detailed map data and information from other
12 connected vehicles or infrastructure. AVs might be able to detect and classify objects in their surroundings and
13 might predict how they are likely to behave.

14 Connected vehicle technology enables cars, buses, trucks, trains, roads, and roadside infrastructure, as well as
15 other devices such as cellular telephones, to communicate with one another. Connected vehicle technology
16 enabling vehicles to communicate with each other is known as vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V). Connected vehicle
17 technology enabling vehicles to communicate with infrastructure is known as vehicle-to-infrastructure (V2I).
18 Connected vehicle technology enables equipped vehicles on the road to be aware of the location and status of other
19 nearby equipped vehicles or devices. Road users could receive notifications and alerts of potentially
20 ~~hazardous~~ ~~dangerous~~ situations, such as a vehicle that is about to run a red traffic signal as it nears an intersection,
21 or an oncoming car, that is out of sight beyond a curve swerving into the opposing lane to avoid an object on the
22 road.

138 **Section 5A.03 Definitions and Terms**

139 Support:

140 01 The definitions and terms shown in Items A through G below, which are found in the Society of Automotive
 141 Engineers standard SAE J3016 and other sources, are used extensively in automated vehicle technology. Their
 142 definitions, which are summarized below for reference and for use with the provisions of this Manual, are as
 143 follows:

- 144 A. Advanced Driver Assistance Systems (ADAS) – Electronic systems that aid a vehicle driver with one or
 145 more driving tasks while driving. They are intended to increase the safe operation of a vehicle and
 146 include applications such as automatic braking, lane keeping assistance, adaptive cruise control, and
 147 others.
- 148 B. Automated Driving System (ADS) – The hardware and software that are collectively capable of
 149 performing the entire Dynamic Driving Task (DDT) on a sustained basis, regardless of whether it is
 150 limited to a specific Operational Design Domain (ODD); this term is used specifically to describe a Level
 151 3, 4, or 5 driving automation system.
- 152 C. Automation Levels – The levels of automation that are described in Table 5A-1.
- 153 D. Cooperative Automation – Technology that enables communication with other vehicles and the
 154 infrastructure to coordinate automated vehicle operation.
- 155 E. Driving Automation System – The hardware and software that are collectively capable of performing part
 156 or all of the DDT on a sustained basis; this term is used generically to describe any system capable of
 157 Levels 1 through 5 driving automation.
- 158 F. Dynamic Driving Task (DDT) – All of the real-time operational and tactical functions required to operate
 159 a vehicle in on-road traffic, excluding the strategic functions such as trip scheduling and selection of
 160 destinations and waypoints.
- 161 G. Operational Design Domain (ODD) – Operating conditions under which a given driving automation
 162 system or feature thereof is specifically designed to function, including, but not limited to, environmental,
 163 geographical, and time-of-day restrictions, and/or the requisite presence or absence of certain traffic or
 164 roadway characteristics.

Table 5A-1. Automation Levels

Automation Level	Description	Automation Category	Automation Type
Level 0	The full-time performance by the human driver of all aspects of the Dynamic Driving Task, even when enhanced by warning or momentary intervention systems.	None*	None
Level 1	The driving mode specific execution by a sustained driver assistance system of either steering or acceleration/deceleration using information about the driving environment and with the expectation that the human driver performs all remaining aspects of the Dynamic Driving Task.	Advanced Driver Assistance Systems (ADAS)	Driving Automation System
Level 2	The driving mode specific execution by one or more sustained driver assistance systems of both steering and acceleration/deceleration using information about the driving environment and with the expectation that the human driver performs all remaining aspects of the Dynamic Driving Task.		
Level 3	The driving mode specific sustained performance by an ADS of all aspects of the Dynamic Driving Task within a given ODD with the expectation that the human driver will respond appropriately to a request to intervene.	Automated Driving System (ADS)	
Level 4	The driving mode specific sustained performance by an ADS of all aspects of the Dynamic Driving Task, even if a human driver does not respond appropriately to a request to intervene.		
Level 5	The full-time sustained performance by an ADS of all aspects of the Dynamic Driving Task under all roadway and environmental conditions that can be managed by a human driver.		

*NOTE: Level 0 might include some ADAS features, but they are considered to be warning or momentary intervention systems at this level.

166

167 **Section 5A.04 Traffic Control Device Design and Use Considerations**

168 Support:

169 01 The interaction of traffic control devices with driving automation systems can create many challenges for
170 agencies in determining traffic control device selection and application. The lack of tolerance of driving automation
171 systems for non-uniformity in traffic control device design and application is a limiting factor of current driving
172 automation system sophistication. This is because driving automation systems have a limited ability to interpolate
173 across gaps in traffic control device cues to the vehicle in the following types of situations:

- 174 A. The driving automation system technology’s ability to adapt to existing traffic control device design and
175 typical quality, such as the refresh rates of electronic changeable message sign displays or the overall
176 quality of a device that has been in service on the roadway for many years;
- 177 B. The color perception of signs;
- 178 C. The electronically perceptible conspicuity and contrast of markings in different environments and lighting
179 conditions;
- 180 D. The driving automation system camera technology and device photometric characteristics in interpreting
181 various types of traffic signals
- 182 E. The ability to discern and comprehend temporary traffic control devices and their varying applications,
183 such as active electronic display devices or flaggers; and
- 184 F. The ability to decipher traffic control at highway-rail or highway-LRT grade crossings, especially at
185 passive grade crossings.

186 02 These and other challenges might limit the functionality of driving automation systems, thus making them
187 less effective or functional, with potential implications for safety and traffic operations. The uniform design and
188 consistent application of standardized traffic control devices supports the functionality of driving automation
189 system technology in many situations. Similarly, good traffic control device maintenance practices and programs
190 will help improve the potential for driving automation systems to operate properly in many roadway environments.

191 *Guidance:*

192 03 *Agencies should adopt traffic control device maintenance policies and or practices with consideration to both*
193 *the human driver and driving automation system technology needs (see Sections 1D.10, 2A.19, 3A.05 and 4A.10).*

194 04 *Engineering judgment (see Section 1D.03) used to determine traffic control device selection and placement*
195 *should consider uniformity in application and location needed to support both the human driver and driving*
196 *automation system technology.*

197 Support:

198 05 A systematic approach to traffic control device selection, application, and maintenance taking into
199 consideration certain fundamental principles, will help assist agencies considering the inclusion of AVs on their
200 roadways. Generally, improvements to traffic control device uniformity and improved maintenance policies and
201 practices that keep traffic control devices in good working order with high levels of conspicuity that are beneficial
202 to the human driver will be beneficial to and AVs as well.

203 *Guidance:*

204 06 *Agencies should apply the following fundamental principles and considerations as they evaluate traffic*
205 *control devices and other maintenance practices to support driving automation system technologies during*
206 *maintenance and infrastructure improvements:*

- 207 A *Applying uniform and consistent traffic control devices on each type of roadway, and applying a similar*
208 *approach to traffic control at similar locations in similar situations.*
- 209 B. *Establishing maintenance policies that incorporate effective practices to identify and then fix or replace*
210 *in a timely manner any traffic control device that is reaching the end of its useful life, or that is damaged*
211 *or otherwise no longer serviceable.*
- 212 C. *Making sure that temporary or emergency traffic control, to the extent practicable, is planned in advance*
213 *using devices that comply with the provisions of this Manual and that follow policies designed to provide*
214 *uniformity throughout the site and across jurisdictions.*
- 215 D. *Removing extraneous devices that are no longer necessary or that provide limited benefit to vehicle*
216 *operation or navigation.*

CHAPTER 5B. PROVISIONS FOR TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES

Section 5B.01 Signs

Support:

Driving automation systems use sensors, algorithms, and processing to locate, read, and comprehend traffic signs and assist the human driver or AV in appropriately making vehicle operational decisions. Location, condition, uniformity, design characteristics, and consistent application ~~all~~ affect the ability of driving automation systems to perform these functions.

Standard:

When scanning graphics (see Section 2A.04) of any type are used on a sign for support of driving automation systems, the scanning graphics shall not be visible to the human eye and the sign shall have no apparent loss of resolution or recognition for the road user.

Guidance:

Agencies seeking to ~~better accommodate support~~ driving automation systems, ~~to support AVs,~~ while also potentially benefitting human drivers, should consider:

- A. Clearly associating the sign location and application with the displayed message to the specific lane or road to which it applies, such as in the case of parallel roads or lanes with different speed limits or restrictions.
- B. The practice of sign and information spreading (see Section 2A.20) to limit the amount of information displayed in one location or on one sign to minimize sign clutter.
- C. Signs with designs that are otherwise not provided for in this Manual or the “Standard Highway Signs” publication (see Section 1A.05) are designed based on the standardized sign design practices and features as provided for in this Manual for the type of sign, the location, and the characteristics of the roadway on which it is used.
- D. The refresh rate of LEDs in the illuminated portion of electronic-display signs to provide for greater consistency in driving automation system detection.

Section 5B.02 Markings

Support:

Driving automation systems use sensors, algorithms, and processing to locate, read, and comprehend pavement markings. Location, condition, uniformity, design characteristics, and consistent application ~~affect all~~ ~~have some effect on~~ the ability of driving automation systems to perform this function. Certain pavement marking applications and practices have been shown through research to ~~better~~ support driving automation systems technology, while also benefitting, or at least not detracting from, the performance of the human operator.

The use of dotted line extensions as provided in Sections 3B.07 and 3B.11 will support driving automation systems. [Jan 2026, 25B-CAV-01]

Guidance:

Agencies seeking to ~~better accommodate support~~ driving automation systems, ~~to support AVs,~~ while also potentially benefitting human drivers, should consider:

- A. Normal width longitudinal lines of at least 6 inches in width (see Section 3A.04).
 - B. Edge lines of at least 6 inches in width (see Sections 3A.04 and 3B.09).
 - ~~C. Dotted edge line extensions along all entrance and exit ramps, all auxiliary lanes, and all tapers where a deceleration or auxiliary lane is added (see Section 3B.11). [Jan 2026, 25B-CAV-01]~~
 - D. Chevron markings in the neutral areas of exit gores to distinguish them from travel lanes (see Section 3B.25).
 - E. Raised pavement markers only as a supplement to, rather than as a substitute for, pavement markings (see Sections 3B.16 and 3B.17).
 - F. Uniform contrast markings on light colored pavements to create greater contrast. Supplementing broken white lane lines with a lag pavement marking contrast pattern consisting of black material with the same dimensions of the lane line (see Section 3A.04) and immediately following the lane line. [Jan 2025, 24B-CAV-01]
 - G. Broken lines with uniform marking and gap length (see Section 3A.04).
- [List item renumbering omitted for simplicity in accordance with NCUTCD formatting guidelines]

269

270 Section 5B.03 Highway Traffic Signals

271 *Guidance:*

272 01 Agencies seeking to ~~better accommodate support~~ driving automation systems, ~~to support AVs~~, while also
273 potentially benefitting human drivers, should consider:

274 A. Consistent signal face placement along corridors with respect to overhead mounting versus post
275 mounting on the side of the roadway.

276 B. Consistent number of signal faces for approach lanes and the selection of signal indications and signal
277 clusters along a corridor to promote uniform displays for identical or similar situations.

278 C. The refresh rate of LED traffic signals to provide for greater consistency in driving automation system
279 detection. MUTCD 11th Edition Page 763 December 2023 Sect. 5B.03 to 5B.06

280 D. Providing signal faces with backplates (see Section 4D.06) having retroreflective borders to enhance
281 signal face conspicuity and detection by driving automation system sensors.

282 E. Using FLASHING YELLOW ARROW signal indications for permissive turns.

283 *Support:*

284 02 Signal faces that display a CIRCULAR GREEN indication and that are located over or directly in line with a
285 mandatory turn lane can be less effective for driving automation systems to recognize as a traffic signal face
286 controlling permissive turning movements.

287 03 Achieving uniformity along a corridor ~~can be challenging but supports is desirable for~~ driving automation
288 systems, ~~but can be challenging~~. Multiple options are available for traffic signal displays to allow design variations
289 based on specific intersection variables such as available overhead clearance, utility conflicts, signal support design
290 constraints, and other factors. V2I capabilities can complement driving automation system recognition of traffic
291 signals to provide redundancy, and to improve reliability and accuracy.

292

293 Section 5B.04 Temporary Traffic Control

294 *Guidance:*

295 01 Agencies seeking to ~~better accommodate support~~ driving automation systems, ~~to support AVs~~, while also
296 potentially benefitting human drivers, in and through temporary traffic control (TTC) zones should consider:

297 A. Consistent type, spacing, and mounting height of signs (see Sections 6B.04 and 6F.02).

298 B. Use of the END ROAD WORK (G20-2) sign to establish the end of the TTC zone (see Section 6H.36).

299 C. Wider retroreflective material on, or reduced spacing of, channelizing devices ~~to optimize infrastructure~~
300 ~~for better accommodate support~~ driving automation system sensors in nighttime and adverse weather
301 conditions (see Chapter 6K).

302 D. Continuous markings at the beginning of TTC zones and in lane transitions.

303 E. Temporary raised pavement markers only as a supplement to, rather than as a substitute for, pavement
304 markings.

305 F. Removal or obliteration of pavement markings that are no longer applicable as soon as practicable, for
306 long-term stationary operations in the temporary traveled way (see Section 6J.01).

307 *Support:*

308 02 Pavement markings that are not fully removed and pavement scarring ~~are of particular concern as there~~ can be
309 ~~misinterpretedation~~ by driving automation systems, ~~that can~~ resulting in erroneous vehicle positioning in TTC
310 zones.

311 03 V2I communications can complement driving automation systems recognition in TTC zones by
312 communicating the presence of a TTC zone to vehicles.

313 04 Section 6J.01 describes the use of pavement markings in TTC zones and the removal or obliteration of
314 existing pavement markings.

315 05 Section 6J.02 describes the use of temporary pavement markings in TTC zones.

316

317 **Section 5B.05 Traffic Control for Highway-Rail and Highway-Light Rail Transit Grade Crossings**

318 *Guidance:*

319 01 Agencies seeking to ~~better accommodate~~ support driving automation systems, ~~to support AVs,~~ while also
320 potentially benefiting human drivers, at grade crossings should consider:

321 A. Consistent placement of signs and markings for passive and active grade crossings along a corridor to
322 promote uniformity and to improve the ability of driving automation system technology to recognize grade
323 crossings.

324 B. Removal of signs and pavement markings associated with grade crossings that are out of service (see
325 Section 8A.09).

326 *Support:*

327 02 V2I communications can complement driving automation system recognition of grade crossings to improve
328 reliability and accuracy, and to relay information on the arrival or presence of a train or LRT vehicle at a grade
329 crossing.

330

331 **Section 5B.06 Traffic Control for Bicycle Facilities**

332 *Guidance:*

333 01 Agencies seeking to ~~better accommodate~~ support driving automation systems, ~~to support AVs,~~ while also
334 potentially benefiting human road users, should consider:

335 A. Use of an END (R3-9dP) plaque with a BIKE LANE (R3-17) sign to indicate the end of a bicycle lane
336 that is merging with other traffic (see Sections 2B.33 and 9B.04).

337 B. Use of Bicycle Lane Ends (W9-5) and Bicycle Merging (W9-5a) warning signs in advance of the end of a
338 bicycle lane and where a merging maneuver might occur (see Section 9C.07).

339 *Support:*

340 02 Bicycle facilities that are physically separated from motor vehicle traffic using vertical objects or vertical
341 separation can facilitate detection from driving automation system sensors (see Section 9E.07).